

IDAHO CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preamble

Terminology

Canons

- 1 -- A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary.**
- 2 -- A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in Activities.**
- 3 -- A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently.**
- 4 -- A Judge Shall So Conduct the Judge's Extra-Judicial Activities as to Minimize the Risk of Conflict With Judicial Obligations.**
- 5 -- A Judge or Judicial Candidate Shall Refrain From Inappropriate Political Activity.**

Application



PREAMBLE

Our legal system is based on the principle that an independent, fair and competent judiciary will interpret and apply the laws that govern us. The role of the judiciary is central to American concepts of justice and the rule of law. Intrinsic to all sections of this Code are the precepts that judges, individually and collectively, must respect and honor the judicial office as a public trust and strive to enhance and maintain confidence in our legal system. The judge is an arbiter of facts and law for the resolution of disputes and a highly visible symbol of government under the rule of law.

The Code of Judicial Conduct is intended to establish standards for ethical conduct of Idaho judges. It consists of broad statements called Canons, specific rules set

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

forth in Sections under each Canon, a Terminology Section, an Application Section and Commentary. The text of the Canons and the Sections, including the Terminology and Application Sections, is authoritative. The Commentary, by explanation and example, provides guidance with respect to the purpose and meaning of the Canons and Sections. The Commentary is not intended as a statement of additional rules.

TERMINOLOGY

Terms explained below are noted with an asterisk (*) in the Sections where they appear. In addition, the Sections where terms appear are referred to after the explanation of each term below.

“Candidate.” A candidate is a person seeking selection for or retention in judicial office by election or appointment. A person becomes a candidate for judicial office as soon as he or she makes a public announcement of candidacy, declares or files as a candidate with the election or appointment authority, or authorizes solicitation or acceptance of contributions or support. See Sections 5A, 5B, 5C and 5E.

“Court personnel” does not include the lawyers in a proceeding before a judge. See Sections 3B(7)(c) and 3B(9).

“De minimis” denotes an insignificant interest that could not raise reasonable question as to a judge’s impartiality. See Sections 3E(l)(c) and 3E(l)(d).

“Economic interest” denotes ownership of a more than de minimis legal or equitable interest, or a relationship as officer, director, advisor or other active participant in the affairs of a party, except that:

(i) ownership of an interest in a mutual or common investment fund that holds securities is not an economic interest in such securities unless the judge participates in the management of the fund or a proceeding pending or impending before the judge could substantially affect the value of the interest;

(ii) service by a judge as an officer, director, advisor or other active participant in an educational, religious, charitable, fraternal or civic organization, or service by a judge’s spouse, parent or child as an officer, director, advisor or other active participant in any organization does not create an economic interest in by that organization;

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

(iii) a deposit in a financial institution, the proprietary interest of a policy holder in a mutual insurance company, of a depositor in a mutual savings association or of a member in a credit union, or a similar proprietary interest, is not an economic interest in the organization unless a proceeding pending or impending before the judge could substantially affect the value of the interest;

(iv) ownership of government securities is not an economic interest in the issuer unless a proceeding pending or impending before the judge could substantially affect the value of the securities.

See Sections 3E(1)(c) and 3E(2).

“Fiduciary” includes such relationships as executor, administrator, trustee, guardian and, such other relationship defined by law as “Fiduciary.” See Sections 3E(1), 3E(2) and 4E.

“Judicial Council” is the Idaho Judicial Council. See Section 3(D)(1).

“Knowingly,” “knowledge,” “known” or “knows” denotes actual knowledge of the fact in question. A person’s knowledge may be inferred from circumstances. See Sections 3D, 3E(1), and 5A(3).

“Law” denotes court rules as well as statutes, constitutional provisions and decisional law. See Sections 2A, 3A, 3B(2), 3B(6), 4B, 4C, 4D(5), 4F, 4I, 5A(2), 5A(3), 5B(2), 5C(1), 5C(3) and 5D.

“Member of the candidate’s family” denotes a spouse, child, sibling, grandchild, parent, grandparent or other relative or person with whom the candidate maintains a close familial relationship. See Section 5A(3)(a).

“Member of the judge’s family” denotes a spouse, child, sibling, grandchild, parent, grandparent, or other relative or person with whom the judge maintains a close familial relationship. See Sections 4D(3) and 4E.

“Member of the judge’s family residing in the judge’s household” denotes any relative of a judge by blood or marriage, or a person treated by a judge as a member of the judge’s family, who resides in the judge’s household. See Sections 3E(1) and 4D(5).

“Nonpublic information” denotes information that, by law or rule, is not available to the public. Nonpublic information may include but is not limited to: information that

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

is sealed by statute, court order, or court administrative rule, impounded or communicated in camera; and information offered in grand jury proceedings, presentencing reports, dependency cases or psychiatric reports. See Section 3B(11).

“Political gathering” is an event sponsored by a “political organization.”

“Political organization” denotes a political party or other group, the principal purpose of which is to further the election or appointment of candidates to political office or the attainment of a specific political goal. See Section 5A(1).

“Pro tempore judge” -- A pro tempore judge is a judge who serves or expects to serve once or only sporadically on a part-time basis under a separate appointment for each period of service or for each case heard. See Application Section E.

“Public election” -- This term includes primary and general elections; it includes partisan elections, nonpartisan elections and retention elections. See Section 5C.

“Require” -- The rules prescribing that a judge “require” certain conduct of others are rules of reason. The use of the term “require” in that context means a judge is to exercise reasonable direction and control over the conduct of those persons subject to the judge’s direction and control. See Sections 3B(3), 3B(4), 3B(6), 3B(9) and 3C(2).

“Senior judge” -- A senior judge is a judge designated pursuant to Idaho Code §§ 1-2005 and 1-2221.

“Staffing” – “**Staffing**” means a regularly scheduled, informal conference not occurring in open court, the purpose of which is to permit the presiding judge and others, including counsel, to discuss a participant’s progress in the problem solving court, treatment recommendations, or responses to participant compliance issues. See Section 3B(7).

“Third degree of relationship” -- The following persons are relatives within the third degree of relationship: great-grandparent, grandparent, parent, uncle, aunt, brother, sister, child, grandchild, great-grandchild, nephew or niece. See Section 3E(1)(d).

CANON 1

A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

A. An independent and honorable judiciary is indispensable to justice in our society. A judge should participate in establishing, maintaining and enforcing high standards of conduct, and shall personally observe those standards so that the integrity and independence of the judiciary will be preserved. The provisions of this Code are to be construed and applied to further that objective.

Commentary

Deference to the judgments and rulings of courts depends upon public confidence in the integrity and independence of judges. The integrity and independence of judges depends in turn upon their acting without fear or favor. Although judges should be independent, they must comply with the law, including the provisions of this Code. Public confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary is maintained by the adherence of each judge to this responsibility. Conversely, violation of this Code diminishes public confidence in the judiciary and thereby does injury to the system of government under law.

CANON 2

A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in Activities that May Reflect Upon Judicial Conduct

A. Judges should respect and comply with the law and should conduct themselves at all times in a manner that does not detract from public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

Commentary

Public confidence in the judiciary is eroded by irresponsible or improper conduct by judges. A judge must avoid all impropriety and appearance of impropriety. A judge must expect to be the subject of constant public scrutiny. A judge must therefore accept restrictions on the judge's conduct that might be viewed as burdensome by the ordinary citizen and should do so freely and willingly.

The prohibition against behaving with impropriety or the appearance of impropriety applies to both the professional and personal conduct of a judge. Because it is not practicable to list all prohibited acts, the proscription is necessarily cast in general terms that extend to conduct by judges that is harmful although not specifically mentioned in the Code. Actual improprieties under this standard include violations of law, court rules or other specific provisions of this Code. The test for violation of this

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

Canon is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge's ability to carry out judicial responsibilities with integrity, impartiality and competence is impaired.

See also Commentary under Section 2C.

B. A judge shall not allow family, social, political or other relationships to influence the judge's judicial conduct or judgment. A judge shall not lend the prestige of judicial office to advance the private interests of the judge or others; nor shall a judge convey or permit others to convey the impression that they are in a special position to influence the judge. A judge shall not testify voluntarily as a character witness.

Commentary

Maintaining the prestige of judicial office is essential to a system of government in which the judiciary functions independently of the executive and legislative branches. Respect for the judicial office facilitates the orderly conduct of legitimate judicial functions. Judges should distinguish between proper and improper use of the prestige of office in all of their activities. For example, it would be improper for a judge to allude to his or her judgeship to gain a personal advantage such as deferential treatment when stopped by a police officer for a traffic offense. Similarly, judicial letterhead must not be used for conducting a judge's personal business.

A judge must avoid lending the prestige of judicial office for the advancement of the private interests of others. For example, a judge must not use the judge's judicial position to gain advantage in a civil suit involving a member of the judge's family. In contracts for publication of a judge's writings, a judge should retain control over the advertising to avoid exploitation of the judge's office. As to the acceptance of awards, see Section 4D(5)(a) and Commentary.

Although a judge should be sensitive to possible abuse of the prestige of office, a judge may make a confidential recommendation indicating the background and character of an individual based upon the judge's substantial personal knowledge gathered over a substantial period of time in the following situations:

- (1) A screening committee for judicial appointments;
- (2) The Bar concerning applicants for admission;
- (3) An educational institution concerning someone seeking to further his or her education;
- (4) An employer concerning someone seeking employment;

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

- (5) Entities which certify or evaluate attorneys, e.g. Martindale Hubbell, concerning attorneys who practice before the judge.

A judge must not testify voluntarily as a character witness because to do so may lend the prestige of the judicial office in support of the party for whom the judge testifies. Moreover, when a judge testifies as a witness, a lawyer who regularly appears before the judge may be placed in the awkward position of cross-examining the judge. A judge may, however, testify when properly summoned. Except in unusual circumstances where the demands of justice require, a judge should discourage a party from requiring the judge to testify as a character witness.

C. A judge shall not hold membership in any organization that practices invidious discrimination on the basis of race, sex, religion or national origin.

Commentary

Membership of a judge in an organization that practices invidious discrimination gives rise to perceptions that the judge's impartiality is impaired. Section 2C refers to the current practices of the organization. Whether an organization practices invidious discrimination is often a complex question to which judges should be sensitive. The answer cannot be determined from a mere examination of an organization's current membership rolls but rather depends on how the organization selects members and other relevant factors, such as that the organization is dedicated to the preservation of religious, ethnic or cultural values of legitimate common interest to its members, or that it is in fact and effect an intimate, purely private organization whose membership limitations could not be constitutionally prohibited. Absent such factors, an organization is generally said to discriminate invidiously if it arbitrarily excludes from membership on the basis of race, religion, sex or national origin persons who would otherwise meet the organization's qualifications for membership. *See New York State Club Ass'n. Inc. v. City of New York*, 108 S.C. 2225, 101 LED.2d 1 (1988); *Board of Directors of Rotary International v. Rotary Club of Duarte*, 481 U.S. 537, 107 S.C. 1940, 95 LED.2d 474 (1987); *Roberts v. United States Jaycees*, 468 U.S. 609, 104 S.C. 3244, 82 LED.2d 462 (1984).

Although Section 2C relates only to membership in organizations that invidiously discriminate on the basis of race, sex, religion or national origin, a judge's membership in an organization that engages in any discriminatory membership practices prohibited by the law of the jurisdiction may also violate Canon 2 and Section 2A and gives the appearance of impropriety. In addition, it would be a violation of Canon 2 and Section 2A for a judge to arrange a meeting at a club that the judge knows practices invidious

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

discrimination on the basis of race, sex, religion or national origin in its membership or other policies, or for the judge to regularly use such a club. Moreover, public manifestation by a judge of the judge's knowing approval of invidious discrimination on any basis gives the appearance of impropriety under Canon 2 and diminishes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary, in violation of Section 2A.

When a person who is a judge on the date this Code becomes effective learns that an organization to which the judge belongs engages in invidious discrimination that would preclude membership under Section 2C or under Canon 2 and Section 2A, the judge is permitted, in lieu of resigning, to make immediate efforts to have the organization discontinue its invidiously discriminatory practices, but is required to suspend participation in any other activities of the organization. If the organization fails to discontinue its invidiously discriminatory practices as promptly as possible (and in all events within a year of the judge's first learning of the practices), the judge is required to resign immediately from the organization.

CANON 3

A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently

A. Judicial Duties in General. A judge shall diligently perform judicial duties. The judge's judicial duties include all the duties of the judge's office prescribed by law.* In the performance of these duties, the following standards apply.

B. Adjudicative Responsibilities.

(1) A judge shall hear and decide matters assigned to the judge except those in which an appropriate disqualification is required by these Canons.

(2) A judge shall be faithful to the law* and maintain professional competence in it. A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor or fear of criticism.

(3) A judge shall maintain professional competence in the performance of judicial duties.

(4) A judge shall require order and decorum in proceedings before the judge.

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

(5) A judge shall be patient, dignified and courteous to litigants, jurors, witnesses, lawyers and others with whom the judge deals in an official capacity, and shall require similar conduct of lawyers, and of staff, court officials and others subject to the judge's direction and control.

Commentary

The duty to hear all proceedings fairly and with patience is not inconsistent with the duty to dispose promptly of the business of the court. Judges can be efficient and businesslike while being patient and deliberate.

(6) A judge shall perform judicial duties without bias or prejudice. A judge shall not, in the performance of judicial duties, by words or conduct manifest bias or prejudice, including but not limited to bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, or national origin, and shall not permit staff, court officials and others subject to the judge's direction and control to do so.

Commentary

A judge must refrain from speech, gestures or other conduct that could reasonably be perceived as sexual harassment and must require the same standard of conduct of others subject to the judge's direction and control.

A judge must perform judicial duties impartially and fairly. A judge who manifests bias on any basis in a proceeding impairs the fairness of the proceeding and brings the judiciary into disrepute. Facial expression and body language, in addition to oral communication, can give to parties or lawyers in the proceeding, jurors, the media and others an appearance of judicial bias. A judge must be alert to avoid behavior that may be perceived as prejudicial.

(7) A judge shall accord to every person who has a legal interest in a proceeding, or that person's lawyer, the right to be heard according to law.* A judge shall not initiate, permit, or consider ex parte communications, or consider other communications made to the judge outside the presence of the parties concerning a pending or impending proceeding except that:

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

(a) Where circumstances require, ex parte communications for scheduling, administrative purposes or emergencies that do not deal with substantive matters or issues on the merits are authorized; provided the judge reasonably believes that no party will gain a procedural or tactical advantage as a result of the ex parte communication.

(b) A judge may obtain the advice of a disinterested expert on the law* applicable to a proceeding before the judge if the judge gives notice to the parties of the person consulted and the substance of the advice, and affords the parties reasonable opportunity to respond.

(c) A judge may consult with court personnel* whose function is to aid the judge in carrying out the judge's adjudicative responsibilities or with other judges.

(d) A judge may, with the consent of the parties, confer separately with the parties and their lawyers in an effort to mediate or settle matters pending before the judge.

(e) During a scheduled court proceeding, including a conference, hearing, or trial, a judge may initiate, permit, or consider communications dealing with substantive matters or issues on the merits of the case in the absence of a party who had notice of the proceeding and did not appear.

(f) A judge presiding over a criminal or juvenile problem solving court may initiate, permit, or consider ex parte communications with members of the problem solving court team at staffings*, or by written documents provided to all members of the problem solving court team. A judge who has received any such ex parte communication regarding the defendant or juvenile while presiding over a case in a problem solving court shall not preside over any subsequent proceeding to terminate that defendant or juvenile from the problem solving court, probation violation proceeding, or sentencing proceeding in that case.

(g) A judge may initiate or consider any ex parte communications when expressly authorized by law* to do so.

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

Commentary

The proscription against communications concerning a proceeding includes communications from lawyers, law teachers, and other persons who are not participants in the proceeding, except to the limited extent permitted.

To the extent reasonably possible, all parties or their lawyers shall be included in communications with a judge.

Whenever presence of a party or notice to a party is required by Section 3B(7), it is the party's lawyer, or if the party is unrepresented the party, who is to be present or to whom notice is to be given.

An appropriate and often desirable procedure for a court to obtain the advice of a disinterested expert on legal issues is to invite the expert to file a brief *amicus curiae*.

Certain *ex parte* communication is approved by Section 3B(7) to facilitate scheduling and other administrative purposes and to accommodate emergencies. In general, however, a judge must discourage *ex parte* communication and allow it only if all the criteria stated in Section 3B(7) are clearly met.

A judge must not independently investigate facts in a case and must consider only the evidence presented. This does not preclude a judge from asking questions in court.

A judge must make reasonable efforts, including the provision of appropriate supervision, to ensure that Section 3B(7) is not violated through law clerks or other personnel on the judge's staff.

If communication between the trial judge and the appellate court with respect to a proceeding is permitted, a copy of any written communication or the substance of any oral communication should be provided to all parties.

(8) A judge shall dispose of all judicial matters promptly, efficiently and fairly and shall comply with all constitutional and statutory provisions and court rules concerning timeliness of decisions and salary affidavits.

Commentary

In disposing of matters promptly, efficiently and fairly, a judge must demonstrate due regard for the rights of the parties to be heard and to have issues resolved without

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

unnecessary cost or delay. Containing costs while preserving fundamental rights of parties also protects the interests of witnesses and the general public. A judge should monitor and supervise cases so as to reduce or eliminate dilatory practices, avoidable delays and unnecessary costs. A judge should encourage and seek to facilitate settlement, but parties should not feel coerced into surrendering the right to have their controversy resolved by the courts.

Prompt disposition of the court's business requires a judge to devote adequate time to judicial duties, to be punctual in attending court and expeditious in determining matters under submission, and to insist that court officials, litigants and their lawyers cooperate with the judge to that end. A judge should ordinarily be present during regular business hours.

(9) A judge shall not, while a proceeding is pending or impending in any court, make any public comment that might reasonably be expected to affect its outcome or impair its fairness or make any nonpublic comment that might substantially interfere with a fair trial or hearing. The judge shall require* similar abstention on the part of court personnel* subject to the judge's direction and control. This Section does not prohibit judges from making public statement in the course of their official duties or from explaining for public information the procedures of the court. This Section does not apply to proceedings in which the judge is a litigant in a personal capacity.

Commentary

The requirement that judges abstain from public comment regarding a pending or impending proceeding continues during any appellate process and until final disposition. This Section does not prohibit a judge from commenting on proceedings in which the judge is a litigant in a personal capacity, but in cases such as a writ of mandamus where the judge is a litigant in an official capacity, the judge must not comment publicly. The conduct of lawyers relating to trial publicity is governed by Rule 3.6 of the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct.

(10) A judge shall not commend or criticize jurors for their verdict other than in a court order or opinion in a proceeding, but may express appreciation to jurors for their service to the judicial system and the community.

Commentary

Adopted: January 31, 2002
Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008
Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008
Amended: February 26, 2010
Effective: February 26, 2010
Amended: April 22, 2010
Effective: April 22, 2010

Commending or criticizing jurors for their verdict may imply a judicial expectation in future cases and may impair a juror's ability to be fair and impartial in a subsequent case.

(11) A judge shall not disclose or use, for any purpose unrelated to judicial duties, nonpublic information* acquired in a judicial capacity.

C. Administrative Responsibilities.

(1) A judge shall diligently discharge the judge's administrative responsibilities without bias or prejudice and maintain professional competence in judicial administration, and shall cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business.

(2) A judge shall require* staff, court officials and others subject to the judge's direction and control to observe the standards diligence that apply to the judge and to refrain from manifesting bias or prejudice in the performance of their official duties.

(3) A judge with supervisory authority for the judicial performance of other judges shall take reasonable measures to assure the prompt disposition of matters before them and the proper performance of their other judicial responsibilities.

(4) A judge shall not make unnecessary appointments. A judge shall exercise the power of appointment impartially and on the basis of merit. A judge shall avoid nepotism and favoritism. A judge shall not approve compensation of appointees beyond the fair value of services rendered.

Commentary

Appointees of a judge include assigned counsel, officials such as referees, commissioners, special masters, receivers and guardians and personnel such as clerks, secretaries and bailiffs. Consent by the parties to an appointment or an award of compensation does not relieve the judge of the obligation prescribed by Section 3C(4).

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

D. Disciplinary Responsibilities. Judges are encouraged to bring instances of unprofessional conduct by judges or lawyers to their attention in order to provide them opportunities to correct their errors without disciplinary proceedings; but the judges should file reports thereof with the Commission of the Idaho State Bar or with the Judicial Council, as appropriate, when no such remedial action is promptly undertaken, or if the violations are flagrant or repeated.

Commentary

Appropriate action may include direct communication with the judge or lawyer who has committed the violation, other direct action if available, and/or reporting the violation to the appropriate authority or other agency or body.

E. Disqualification.

(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to instances where:

(a) the judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's lawyer, or has personal knowledge* of disputed evidentiary facts that might reasonably affect the judge's impartiality in the proceedings;

(b) the judge served as a lawyer in the matter in controversy, or a lawyer with whom the judge previously practiced law served during such association as a lawyer concerning the matter, or the judge has been a material witness concerning it;

Commentary

Under this rule, a judge is disqualified whenever the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, regardless whether any of the specific rules in Section 3E(1) apply. For example, if a judge were in the process of negotiating for employment with a law firm, the judge would be disqualified from any matters in which that law firm appeared, unless the disqualifications were waived by the parties after disclosure by the judge.

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

A judge should disclose on the record information that the judge believes the parties or their lawyers might consider relevant to the question of disqualification, even if the judge believes there is no real basis for disqualification.

By decisional law, the rule of necessity may override the rule of disqualification. For example, a judge might be required to participate in judicial review of a judicial salary statute, or might be the only judge available in a matter requiring immediate judicial action, such as a hearing on probable cause or a temporary restraining order. In the latter case, the judge must disclose on the record the basis for possible disqualification and use reasonable efforts to transfer the matter to another judge as soon as practicable.

A lawyer in a government agency does not ordinarily have an association with other lawyers employed by that agency within the meaning of Section 3E(1)(b); a judge formerly employed by a government agency, however, should disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding if the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned because of such association.

(c) the judge knows* that he or she, individually or as a fiduciary*, or the judge's spouse, parent or child wherever residing, or any other member of the judge's family residing in the judge's household,* has an economic interest* in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding or has any other more than de minimis* interest that could be substantially affected by the proceeding;

(d) the judge or the judge's spouse, or a person within the third degree of relationship* to either of them, or the spouse of such a person:

(i) is a party to the proceeding, or an officer, director or trustee of a party;

(ii) is acting as a lawyer in the proceeding;

(iii) is known* by the judge to have a more than de minimis* interest that could be substantially affected by the proceeding;

(iv) is to the judge's knowledge* likely to be a material witness in the proceeding.

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

Commentary

The fact that a lawyer in a proceeding is affiliated with a law firm with which a relative of the judge is affiliated does not of itself disqualify the judge. Under appropriate circumstances, the fact that “the judge’s impartiality might reasonably be questioned” under Section 3E(1), or that the relative is known by the judge to have an interest in the law firm that could be “substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding” under Section 3E(1)(d)(iii) may require the judge’s disqualification.

(2) A judge shall keep informed about the judge’s personal and fiduciary* economic interests,* and make a reasonable effort to keep informed about the personal economic interests of the judge’s spouse and minor children residing in the judge’s household.

F. Remittal of Disqualification. A judge disqualified by the terms of Section 3E(1)(c) or (d) may disclose on the record the basis of the judge’s disqualification and may ask the parties and their lawyers to consider, out of the presence of the judge, whether to waive disqualification. If following disclosure of any basis for disqualification other than personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, the parties and lawyers, without participation by the judge, all agree that the judge should not be disqualified, and the judge is then willing to participate, the judge may participate in the proceeding. The agreement shall be incorporated in the record of the proceeding.

Commentary

A remittal procedure provides the parties an opportunity to proceed without delay if they wish to waive the disqualification. To assure that consideration of the question of remittal is made independently of the judge, a judge must not solicit, seek or hear comment on possible remittal or waiver of the disqualification unless the lawyers jointly propose remittal after consultation as provided in the rule. A party may act through counsel if counsel represents on the record that the party has been consulted and consents. As a practical matter, a judge may wish to have all parties and their lawyers sign the remittal agreement.

CANON 4

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

**A Judge Shall So Conduct the Judge's Extra-Judicial
Activities as to Minimize the Risk of Conflict
With Judicial Obligations**

A. Extra-judicial Activities in General. A judge shall conduct all of the judge's extra-judicial activities so that they do not:

- (1) cast reasonable doubt on the judge's capacity to act impartially as a judge; or**
- (2) interfere with the proper performance of judicial duties.**

Commentary

Complete separation of a judge from extra-judicial activities is neither possible nor wise; a judge should not become isolated from the community in which the judge lives.

B. Avocational Activities. A judge may speak, write, lecture, teach and participate in other extra-judicial activities concerning the law,* the legal system, and the administration of justice, subject to the requirements of this Code.

Commentary

As a judicial officer and person specially learned in the law, a judge is in a unique position to contribute to the improvement of the law, the legal system, and the administration of justice, including revision of substantive and procedural law and improvement of criminal and juvenile justice. To the extent that time permits, a judge is encouraged to do so, either independently or through a bar association, judicial conference or other organization dedicated to the improvement of the law. Judges may participate in efforts to promote the fair administration of justice, the independence of the judiciary and the integrity of the legal profession and may express opposition to the persecution of lawyers and judges in other countries because of their professional activities.

In this and other Sections of Canon 4, the phrase "subject to the requirements of this Code" is used, notably in connection with a judge's governmental, civic or charitable activities. This phrase is included to remind judges that the use of permissive language in various Sections of the Code does not relieve a judge from the other requirements of the Code that apply to the specific conduct.

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

C. Governmental, Civic or Charitable Activities.

(1) A judge shall not appear at a public hearing before, or otherwise consult with, an executive or legislative body or official except on matters concerning the law,* the legal system or the administration of justice or except when acting pro se in a matter involving the judge or the judge's interests.

Commentary

See Section 2B regarding the obligation to avoid improper influence.

(2) A judge shall not accept appointment to a governmental committee or commission or other governmental position that is concerned with issues of fact or policy on matters other than the improvement of the law,* the legal system or the administration of justice. A judge may, however, represent a country, state or locality on ceremonial occasions or in connection with historical, educational or cultural activities.

Commentary

Section 4C(2) prohibits a judge from accepting any governmental position except one relating to the law, legal system or administration of justice as authorized by Section 4C(3). The appropriateness of accepting extra-judicial assignments must be assessed in light of the demands on judicial resources created by crowded dockets and the need to protect the courts from involvement in extra-judicial matters that may prove to be controversial. Judges should not accept governmental appointments that are likely to interfere with the effectiveness and independence of the judiciary.

Section 4C(2) does not govern a judge's service in a nongovernmental position. See Section 4C(3) permitting service by a judge with organizations devoted to the improvement of the law, the legal system or the administration of justice and with educational, religious, charitable, fraternal or civic organizations not conducted for profit. For example, service on the board of a public educational institution, unless it were a law school, would be prohibited under Section 4C(2), but service on the board of a public law school or any private educational institution would generally be permitted under Section 4C(3).

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

(3) A judge may serve as an officer, director, trustee or non-legal advisor of an organization or governmental agency devoted to the improvement of the law, the legal system or the administration of justice or of an educational, religious, charitable, fraternal or civic organization not conducted for profit, subject to the following limitations and the other requirements of this Code.

Commentary

Section 4C(3) does not apply to a judge's service in a governmental position unconnected with the improvement of the law, the legal system or the administration of justice; see Section 4C(2).

See Commentary to Section 4B regarding use of the phrase "subject to the following limitations and the other requirements of this Code." As an example of the meaning of the phrase, a judge permitted by Section 4C(3) to serve on the board of a fraternal institution may be prohibited from such service by Sections 2C or 4A if the institution practices invidious discrimination or if service on the board otherwise casts reasonable doubt on the judge's capacity to act impartially as a judge.

Service by a judge on behalf of a civic or charitable organization may be governed by other provisions of Canon 4 in addition to Section 4C. For example, a judge is prohibited by Section 4G from serving as a legal advisor to a civic or charitable organization.

(a) A judge shall not serve as an officer, director, trustee or non-legal advisor if it is likely that the organization

(i) will be engaged in proceedings that would ordinarily come before the judge, or

(ii) will be engaged frequently in adversary proceedings in the court of which the judge is a member or in any court subject to the appellate jurisdiction of the court of which the judge is a member.

Commentary

The changing nature of some organizations and of their relationship to the law makes it necessary for a judge regularly to reexamine the activities of each organization with which the judge is affiliated to determine if it is proper for the judge to continue the

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

affiliation. For example, in many jurisdictions charitable hospitals are now more frequently in court than in the past. Similarly, the boards of some legal aid organizations now make policy decisions that may have political significance or imply commitment to causes that may come before the courts for adjudication.

(b) A judge as an officer, director, trustee or non-legal advisor, or as a member or otherwise:

(i) may assist such an organization in planning fund raising, may participate in support activities related to the fund raising, may participate in the management and investment of the organization's funds, but shall not personally participate in the solicitation of funds.

(ii) may make recommendations to public and private fund-granting organizations on projects and programs concerning the law,* the legal system or the administration of justice;

(iii) shall not use or permit the use of the prestige of judicial office for fund-raising or membership solicitation, provided that a judge may encourage participation by a lawyer or lawyers in pro bono activities as long as the encouragement is not coercive in nature.

Commentary

While a judge may not use the prestige of judicial office for membership solicitation, a judge may solicit membership or endorse or encourage membership efforts for an organization as long as the solicitation cannot reasonably be perceived as coercive and is not essentially a fund-raising mechanism. Solicitation of funds for an organization and solicitation of memberships involve the danger that the person solicited will feel obligated to respond favorably to the solicitor if the solicitor is in a position of influence or control.

Use of an organization letterhead for fund-raising or membership solicitation does not violate Section 4C(3)(b) provided the letterhead lists only the judge's name without judicial designation. In addition, a judge must also make reasonable efforts to ensure that the judge's staff, court officials and others subject to the judge's direction and control do not solicit funds on the judge's behalf for any purpose, charitable or otherwise.

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

A judge must not be a speaker, master of ceremonies, or guest of honor at an organization's fund-raising event, but mere attendance at such an event is permissible if otherwise consistent with this Code.

D. Financial Activities.

(1) A judge shall not engage in financial and business dealings that:

(a) may reasonably be perceived to exploit the judge's judicial position, or

(b) involve the judge in frequent transactions or continuing business relationships with those lawyers or other persons likely to come before the court on which the judge serves.

Commentary

When a judge acquires, in a judicial capacity, information, such as material contained in filings with the court, that is not yet generally known, the judge must not use the information for private gain. See Section 2B; see also Section 3B(11).

A judge must avoid financial and business dealings that involve the judge in frequent transactions or continuing business relationships with persons likely to come either before the judge personally or before other judges on the judge's court. In addition, a judge should discourage members of the judge's family from engaging in dealings that would reasonably appear to exploit the judge's judicial position. This rule is necessary to avoid creating an appearance of exploitation of office or favoritism and to minimize the potential for disqualification. With respect to affiliation of relatives of a judge with law firms appearing before the judge, see Commentary to Section 3E(1) relating to disqualification.

Participation by a judge in financial and business dealings is subject to the general prohibitions in Section 4A against activities that tend to reflect adversely on impartiality, demean the judicial office, or interfere with the proper performance of judicial duties. Such participation is also subject to the general prohibition in Canon 2 against activities involving impropriety or the appearance of impropriety and the prohibition in Section 2B against the misuse of the prestige of judicial office. In addition, a judge must maintain high standards of conduct in all of the judge's activities,

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

as set forth in Canon 1. See Commentary for Section 4B regarding use of the phrase “subject to the requirements of this Code.”

(2) A judge may, subject to the requirements of this Code, hold and manage investments of the judge and members of the judge’s family,* including real estate, and engage in other remunerative activity.

Commentary

This Section provides that, subject to the requirements of this Code, a judge may hold and manage investments owned solely by the judge, investments owned solely by a member or members of the judge’s family, and investments owned jointly by the judge and members of the judge’s family.

(3) A judge shall not serve as an officer, director, manager, general partner, advisor or employee of any business entity except that a judge may, subject to the requirements of this Code, manage and participate in:

(a) a business closely held by the judge or members of the judge’s family,* or

(b) a business entity primarily engaged in investment of the financial resources of the judge or members of the judge’s family.

(c) for “senior judges,” a business entity exclusively engaged in mediation or alternative dispute resolution as permitted by Idaho Code §§1-2005 and 1-2221. Provided, however, that this shall not permit a “senior judge” to be a member of or be “of counsel” to, or share office space with a law firm.

Commentary

Subject to the requirements of this Code, a judge may participate in a business that is closely held either by the judge alone, by members of the judge’s family, or by the judge and members of the judge’s family.

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

Although participation by a judge in a closely-held family business might otherwise be permitted by Section 4D(3), a judge may be prohibited from participation by other provisions of this Code when, for example, the business entity frequently appears before the judge's court or the participation requires significant time away from judicial duties. Similarly, a judge must avoid participating in a closely-held family business if the judge's participation would involve misuse of the prestige of judicial office.

(4) A judge shall manage the judge's investments and other financial interests to minimize the number of cases in which the judge is disqualified. As soon as the judge can do so without serious financial detriment, the judge shall divest himself or herself of investments and other financial interests that might require frequent disqualification.

(5) A judge shall not accept, and shall urge members of the judge's family residing in the judge's household,* not to accept, a gift, bequest, favor or loan from anyone except for the following:

(a) a gift incident to a public testimonial, books, tapes and other resource materials supplied by publishers on a complimentary basis for official use, or an invitation to the judge and the judge's spouse or guest to attend a bar related function or an activity devoted to the improvement of the law,* the legal system or the administration of justice;

Commentary

Section 4D(5) does not apply to contributions to a judge's campaign for judicial office, a matter governed by Canon 5.

Because a gift, bequest, favor or loan to a member of the judge's family residing in the judge's household might be viewed as intended to influence the judge, a judge must inform those family members of the relevant ethical constraints upon the judge in this regard and discourage those family members from violating them. A judge cannot, however, reasonably be expected to know or control all of the financial or business activities of all family members residing in the judge's household.

Acceptance of an invitation to a law-related function is governed by Section 4D(5)(a); acceptance of an invitation paid for by an individual lawyer or group of lawyers is governed by Section 4D(5)(h).

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

A judge may accept a public testimonial or a gift incident thereto only if the donor organization is not an organization whose members comprise or frequently represent the same side in litigation, and the testimonial and gift are otherwise in compliance with other provisions of this Code. See Sections 4A(1) and 2B.

(b) a gift, award or benefit incident to the business, profession or other separate activity of a spouse or other family member of a judge residing in the judge's household, including gifts, awards and benefits for the use of both the spouse or other family member and the judge (as spouse or family member), provided the gift, award or benefit could not reasonably be perceived as intended to influence the judge in the performance of judicial duties;

(c) ordinary social hospitality;

(d) a gift from a relative or friend, for a special occasion, such as a wedding, anniversary or birthday, if the gift is fairly commensurate with the occasion and the relationship;

Commentary

A gift to a judge, or to a member of the judge's family living in the judge's household, that is excessive in value raises questions about the judge's impartiality and the integrity of the judicial office and might require disqualification of the judge where disqualification would not otherwise be required. See, however, Section 4D(5)(e).

(e) a gift, bequest, favor or loan from a relative or close personal friend whose appearance or interest in a case would in any event require disqualification under Section 3E;

(f) a loan from a lending institution in its regular course of business on the same terms generally available to persons who are not judges;

(g) a scholarship or fellowship awarded on the same terms and based on the same criteria applied to other applicants; or

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

(h) any other gift, bequest, favor or loan, only if: the donor is not a party or other person who has come or is likely to come or whose interests have come or are likely to come before the judge.

Commentary

Section 4D(5)(h) prohibits judges from accepting gifts, favors, bequests or loans from lawyers or their firms if they have come or are likely to come before the judge; it also prohibits gifts, favors, bequests or loans from clients of lawyers or their firms when the clients' interests have come or are likely to come before the judge.

E. Fiduciary Activities.

(1) A judge shall not serve as executor, administrator or other personal representative, trustee, guardian, attorney in fact or other fiduciary,* except for the estate, trust or person of a member of the judge's family,* and then only if such service will not interfere with the proper performance of judicial duties.

(2) A judge shall not serve as a fiduciary* if it is likely that the judge as a fiduciary will be engaged in proceedings that would ordinarily come before the judge, or if the estate, trust or ward becomes involved in adversary proceedings in the court on which the judge serves or one under its appellate jurisdiction.

(3) The same restrictions on financial activities that apply to a judge personally also apply to the judge while acting in a fiduciary* capacity.

Commentary

The Time for Compliance provision of this Code (Application, Section F) postpones the time for compliance with certain provisions of this Section in some cases.

The restrictions imposed by this Canon may conflict with the judge's obligation as a fiduciary. For example, a judge should resign as trustee if detriment to the trust would result from divestiture of holdings the retention of which would place the judge in violation of Section 4D(4).

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

F. Service as Arbitrator or Mediator. A judge shall not act as an arbitrator or mediator or otherwise perform judicial functions in a private capacity unless expressly authorized by law.*

Commentary

Section 4F does not prohibit a judge from participating in arbitration, mediation or settlement conferences performed as part of judicial duties or as permitted by Idaho Code §§ 1-2005(7) and 1-2221(7).

G. Practice of Law. A judge shall not practice law. Notwithstanding this prohibition, a judge may act pro se and may, without compensation, give legal advice to and draft or review documents for a member of the judge's family. Employees of the judicial branch of government, other than judges, shall not engage in the practice of law except that a judicial employee may act pro se, may perform routine legal work incident to the management of the personal affairs of the judicial employee or a member of the judicial employee's family, and may provide pro bono legal services in civil matters, so long as such pro se, family, or pro bono legal work does not present an appearance of impropriety, does not take place while on duty or in the judicial employee's workplace except as may be permitted by the Idaho Supreme Court, and does not interfere with the judicial employee's primary responsibility to the office in which the judicial employee serves, and further provided that:

(1) in the case of pro se legal work, such work is done without compensation;

(2) in the case of family legal work, such work is done without compensation and does not involve the entry of an appearance in a federal or state court;

(3) in the case of pro bono legal services, such work (a) is done without compensation; (b) does not involve the entry of an appearance in any federal or state court or administrative agency; (c) does not involve a matter of public controversy, an issue likely to come before the judicial employee's court, or litigation against federal, state or local government; and (d) is reviewed in advance with the appointing authority to determine whether the proposed services are consistent with the foregoing standards and the other provisions of this code.

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

Judicial employees may also serve as uncompensated mediators or arbitrators for nonprofit organizations, subject to the standards applicable to pro bono practice of law, as set for above, and the other provisions of this code.

Commentary

This prohibition refers to the practice of law in a representative capacity and not in a pro se capacity. A judge may act for himself or herself in legal matters, including matters involving litigation and matters involving appearances before or other dealings with legislative and other governmental bodies. However, in doing so, a judge must not abuse the prestige of office to advance the interests of the judge or the judge's family. See Section 2(B).

The Code allows a judge to give legal advice to and draft legal documents for members of the judge's family, so long as the judge receives no compensation. A judge must not, however, act as an advocate or negotiator for a member of the judge's family in a legal matter.

A "senior judge" may engage in activities permitted by Idaho Code §§ 1-2205(7) and 1-2221(7).

H. Compensation and Reimbursement.

(1) Compensation and Reimbursement. A judge may receive compensation and reimbursement of expenses for the extra-judicial activities permitted by this Code, if the source of such payments does not give the appearance of influencing the judge's performance of judicial duties or otherwise give the appearance of impropriety.

(a) Compensation shall not exceed a reasonable amount nor shall it exceed what a person who is not a judge would receive for the same activity.

(b) Expense reimbursement shall be limited to the actual cost of travel, food and lodging reasonably incurred by the judge and, where appropriate to the occasion, by the judge's spouse or guest. Any payment in excess of such an amount is compensation.

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

Commentary

The Code does not prohibit a judge from accepting honoraria or speaking fees provided that the compensation is reasonable and commensurate with the task performed. A judge should ensure, however, that no conflicts are created by the arrangement. A judge must not appear to trade on the judicial position for personal advantage. Nor should a judge spend significant time away from court duties to meet speaking or writing commitments for compensation. In addition, the source of the payment must not raise any question of undue influence or the judge's ability or willingness to be impartial.

CANON 5

A Judge or Judicial Candidate Shall Refrain From Inappropriate Political Activity

A. All Judges and Candidates.

(1) Except as authorized in Sections 5B(2) and 5C(1), a judge or a candidate* for election or appointment of judicial office shall not:

(a) act as a leader or hold an office in a political organizations;

(b) publicly endorse or publicly oppose another candidate for public office;

(c) make speeches on behalf of a political organization*;

(d) publicly endorse or seek the endorsement of a political organization; or

(e) solicit funds for, pay an assessment to or make a contribution to a political organization or candidate.

(2) A judge may attend political gatherings as long as by doing so the judge is not endorsing or seeking the endorsement of a political organization. A judge may speak at political gatherings concerning matters of law, the legal system or the administration of justice.

Commentary

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

The purpose of the Canon is to prohibit judges from engaging in conduct which promotes partisan political activities. The Canon allows judges to attend and observe significant historical events, to participate in patriotic events and to speak at political gatherings concerning matters of the law, the legal system or the administration of justice .

A judge or candidate for judicial office retains the right to participate in the political process as a voter.

Where false information concerning a judicial candidate is made public, a judge or another judicial candidate having knowledge of the facts is not prohibited by Section 5A(1) from making the facts public.

Section 5A(1)(a) does not prohibit a candidate for elective judicial office from retaining during candidacy a public office such as county prosecutor, which is not “an office in a political organization.”

Section 5A(1)(b) does not prohibit a judge or judicial candidate from privately expressing his or her views on judicial candidates or other candidates for public office.

(3) A judge shall resign from judicial office upon becoming a candidate for a nonjudicial office either in a primary or in a general election.

(4) A candidate* for a judicial office:

(a) shall maintain the dignity appropriate to judicial office and act in a manner consistent with the integrity and independence of the judiciary, and shall encourage members of the candidate’s family* to adhere to the same standards of political conduct in support of the candidate as apply to the candidate;

Commentary

Although a judicial candidate must encourage members of his or her family to adhere to the same standards of political conduct in support of the candidate that apply to the candidate, family members are free to participate in other political activity.

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

(b) shall prohibit employees and officials who serve at the pleasure of the candidate,* and shall discourage other employees and officials subject to the candidate's direction and control from doing on the candidate's behalf what the candidate is prohibited from doing under the Sections of this Canon;

(c) except to the extent permitted by Section 5C(2), shall not authorize or knowingly* permit any other person to do for the candidate* what the candidate is prohibited from doing under the Sections of this Canon;

(d) shall not:

(i) make pledges or promises of conduct in office other than the faithful and impartial performance of the duties of the office;

(ii) make statements that commit or appear to commit the candidate with respect to cases, controversies or issues that are likely to come before the court; or

(iii) knowingly* misrepresent the identity, qualifications, present position or other fact concerning the candidate or an opponent.

Commentary

Section 5A(4)(d) prohibits a candidate for judicial office from making statements that appear to commit the candidate regarding cases, controversies or issues likely to come before the court. As a corollary, a candidate should emphasize in any public statement the candidate's duty to uphold the law regardless of his or her personal views. See also Section 3B(9), the general rule on public comment by judges. Nor does this Section prohibit an incumbent judge from making private statements to other judges or court personnel in the performance of judicial duties. This Section applies to any statement made in the process of securing judicial office, such as statements to Judicial Council and Governor. See also Rule 8.2 of the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct.

(e) may respond to personal attacks or attacks on the candidate's record as long as the response does not violate Section 5A(4)(d).

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

(f) or a judge may respond to false allegations regarding judges or the judicial system as long as the response does not violate Section 5A(4)(d)1.

B. Candidates Seeking Appointment to Judicial Office.

(1) A candidate* for appointment to judicial office shall not solicit or accept funds, personally or through a committee or otherwise, to support his or her candidacy.

(2) A candidate* for appointment to judicial office or a judge seeking other governmental office shall not engage in any political activity to secure the appointment except that:

(a) such persons may:

(i) communicate with the appointing authority, including any selection or nominating commission or other agency designated to screen candidates;

(ii) seek support or endorsement for the appointment from organizations that regularly make recommendations for appointment to the office, and from individuals; and

(iii) provide to those specified in Sections 5B(2)(a)(i) and 5B(2)(a)(ii) information as to his or her qualifications for the office;

Commentary

Section 5B(2) provides a limited exception to the restrictions imposed by Sections 5A(1) and 5D. Under Section 5B(2), candidates seeking reappointment to the same judicial office or appointment to another judicial office or other governmental office may apply for the appointment and seek appropriate support.

1 The 2009-2010 Desk Book incorrectly designates Canon 5A(4) as Canon 5A(3). The Desk Book version of Canon 5A incorrectly designates two subsections as "(2)".

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

C. Judges and Candidates Subject to Public Election.

(1) A judge or a candidate* subject to public election* may, except as prohibited by law*:

(a) when a candidate for election

(i) speak to gatherings on his or her own behalf;

(ii) appear in newspaper, television and other media advertisements supporting his or her candidacy;

(iii) distribute pamphlets and other promotional campaign literature that do not otherwise violate the provisions of this code supporting his or her candidacy; and

(iv) publicly advocate or publicly oppose the election of his or her opponent(s).

Commentary

Section 5C(1) permits judges subject to election at any time to be involved in limited political activity. Section 5D, applicable solely to incumbent judges, would otherwise bar this activity.

(2) A candidate* shall not solicit campaign contributions in person. A candidate may establish committees of responsible persons to conduct campaigns for the candidate through media advertisements, brochures, mailings, candidate forums and other means not prohibited by law. Such committees may solicit and accept reasonable campaign contributions, manage the expenditure of funds for the candidate's campaign and obtain public statements of support for his or her candidacy. Such committees are not prohibited from soliciting and accepting reasonable campaign contributions and public support from lawyers. A candidate's committees may solicit contributions and public support for the candidate's campaign no earlier than [one year] before election and no later than [90] days after the last election in which the candidate participates during the election year. Except as required by law, a candidate's judicial election committee should not disclose the names of contributors to

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

judicial campaigns and judicial candidates and judges should avoid obtaining the names of contributors to the judicial campaign. A candidate shall not use or permit the use of campaign contributions for the private benefit of the candidate or others.

Commentary

Section 5C(2) permits a candidate, other than a candidate for appointment, to establish campaign committees to solicit and accept public support and reasonable financial contributions. At the start of the campaign, the candidate must instruct his or her campaign committees to solicit or accept only contributions that are reasonable under the circumstances. Campaign contributions of which a judge has knowledge, made by lawyers or others who appear before the judge, may be relevant to disqualification under Section 3E.

Campaign committees established under Section 5C(2) should manage campaign finances responsibly, avoiding deficits that might necessitate post-election fund-raising, to the extent possible.

D. Incumbent Judges. A judge shall not engage in any political activity except (i) as authorized under any other Section of this Code, or (ii) on behalf of measures to improve the law,* the legal system or the administration of justice.

Commentary

Neither Section 5D nor any other section of the Code prohibits a judge in the exercise of administrative functions from engaging in planning and other official activities with members of the executive and legislative branches of government. With respect to a judge's activity on behalf of measures to improve the law, the legal system and the administration of justice, see Commentary to Section 4B and Section 4C(1) and its Commentary.

E. Applicability. Canon 5 generally applies to all incumbent judges and judicial candidates.* A successful candidate, whether or not an incumbent, is subject to judicial discipline for his or her campaign conduct; an unsuccessful candidate who is a lawyer is subject to lawyer discipline for his or her campaign conduct. A lawyer who is a candidate for judicial office is subject to Rule 8.2(b) of the Idaho Model Rules of Professional Conduct.

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010

APPLICATION OF THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Anyone who is an officer of the judicial system and who performs judicial functions, including Plan B Senior judges, and members of the Idaho Industrial Commission, are a judge within the meaning of this Code except judges “pro tempore” as appointed pursuant to Section 12, Article 5 of the Idaho Constitution and Idaho Administrative Rule (4). All judges shall comply with this Code except as provided below.

(1) Judge Pro Tempore. Attorneys who are appointed to act temporarily as Judges.

(2) Retired Judges. Retired judges (Plan A Senior judges and Plan B Senior judges who have completed their five year commitment) and judges who have resigned, who are designated to act temporarily as judges should comply with all the provisions of their Code except Canons 4C(2) and (3), 4D, 4E and 4F, and they shall refrain from the practice of law. Persons who have been recalled to act temporarily as judges should not act as lawyers in proceedings in which they have served as judges or in any other proceeding related thereto.

F. Time for Compliance. A person to whom this Code becomes applicable shall comply immediately with all provisions of this Code except Sections 4D(3), 4F, 4G, 5C(1) and 5C(2) and shall comply with these Sections as soon as reasonably possible and shall do so in any event within the period of one year.

Commentary

If serving as a fiduciary when selected as judge, a new judge may, notwithstanding the prohibitions in Section 4E, continue to serve as fiduciary but only for that period of time necessary to avoid serious adverse consequences to the beneficiary of the fiduciary relationship and in no event longer than one year. Similarly, if engaged at the time of judicial selection in a business activity, a new judge may, notwithstanding the prohibitions in Section 4D(3), continue in that activity for a reasonable period but in no event longer than one year.

Adopted: January 31, 2002

Amended: January 15, 2003; July 29, 2003; September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Effective: September 10, 2007; August 4, 2008

Amended: February 26, 2010

Effective: February 26, 2010

Amended: April 22, 2010

Effective: April 22, 2010